arXiv:1506.00418v1 [math.CV] 1 Jun 2015

The raising steps method. Applications to the L^r Hodge theory in a compact riemannian manifold.

Eric Amar

Abstract

Let X be a smooth manifold and Ω a domain in X. The Raising Steps Method allows to get from local results on solutions u of equation $Du = \omega$ global ones in Ω.

It was introduced in [1] to get good estimates on solutions of $\bar{\partial}$ equation in domains in a Stein manifold.

It is extended here to linear partial differential operator of any finite order.

As a simple application we shall get a L^r Hodge decomposition theorem for p forms in a compact riemannian manifold without boundary, and then we retrieve known results of C. Scott [7] by an entirely different method.

1 Introduction.

In all the sequel a *domain* of a smooth manifold X will be a connected open set Ω of X, relatively compact and with $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ smooth boundary.

The raising steps method allows to get from local results on solutions u of an equation $Du = \omega$, global ones in a domain Ω of a smooth manifold X. Precisely fix a threshold $s > 1$ such that you have a global solution u on Ω of $Du = \omega$ with estimates $L^s(\Omega) \to L^s(\Omega)$; very often this threshold will be $s = 2$, since Hilbert spaces are usually more tractable. Suppose that we have, for $1 \leq r < s$, local solutions u on $U \cap \Omega$ of $Du = \omega$ with estimates $L^r(\Omega) \to L^t(U \cap \Omega)$ with a strict increase of the regularity, i.e. $\displaystyle \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{r} - \delta,\ \delta > 0$ for any $r \leq s$, then you get a global solution v of $Dv = \omega$ which is essentially in $L^t(\Omega)$. I introduced this method in [1] to get solutions for the $\bar{\partial}$ equation with good estimates in domains in Stein manifold. I extend it here to linear partial differential operator D of any finite order m.

We shall apply this method for the Poisson equation associated to the Hodge Laplacian in a compact riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension n. Then we derive from this result a L_p^r Hodge decomposition for p differential forms on M. This way we recover already known results of C. Scott [7] but by an entirely different method. The case $r = 2$ of this decomposition, which gives us $s = 2$ as a threshold, goes to Morrey and essentially all results in the L^2 case we use here are coming from the basic work of Morrey [6].

Precisely let $L^r_p(M)$ be the set of p forms in the Lebesgue space $L^r(M)$, $W^{k,r}_p(M)$ the Sobolev space of p forms which have all their (covariant) derivatives up to order k in $L^r(\Omega)$, and $\mathcal{H}_p$ the set of harmonic p forms on M. We get the following Hodge decomposition :

Theorem 1.1 *Let (M,g) be a compact riemannian manifold without boundary ; we have the strong L^r Hodge decomposition :*
$\forall r,\ 1\leq r<\infty,\ L^r_p(M)=\mathcal{H}^r_p\oplus \mathrm{Im}\Delta(W^{2,r}_p(M))=\mathcal{H}^r_p\oplus \mathrm{Im}d(W^{1,r}_p(M))\oplus \mathrm{Im}d^*(W^{1,r}_p(M)).$

We shall denote $\Lambda^p(X)$ the set of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ p forms on X. Let d_p be the exterior differential on $\Lambda^p \overset{d_p}{\rightarrow} \Lambda^{p+1}$, then applications of this decomposition are well known and important. Fix a p in $0,1,...,n$ and let $\Lambda^{p-1}\overset{d_{p-1}}{\rightarrow}\Lambda^p\overset{d_p}{\rightarrow}\Lambda^{p+1}$; now consider the cohomology class $H^p(M):=\mathrm{ker}d_p/\mathrm{Im}d_{p-1}$ then

- any class in $H^p(M)$ contains exactly one harmonic p form ;
- the dimension $b_p(M)$ of $H^p(M)$ is finite and equals the dimension of the space of harmonic p forms ;

and many other applications in cohomology theory and in other areas, see for instance C. Scott [7].

Finally we use a nice construction due to Guneysu and Pigola [4] to get good solutions for the Poisson equation associated to the Hodge Laplacian in a relatively compact domain in a complete, compact or non compact, riemannian manifold :

Theorem 1.2 *Let Ω be a domain in the smooth complete riemannian manifold M and $\omega\in L^r_p(\Omega)$, then there is a form $u\in W^{2,r}_p(\Omega)$, such that $\Delta u=\omega$ and $\|u\|_{W^{2,r}_p(\Omega)}\leq c(\Omega)\|\omega\|_{L^r_p(\Omega)}$.*

This work will be presented the following way :

- In the next section we state precisely the hypotheses we need for the method to work.
- In the third section we state and prove the raising steps theorem.
- In the fourth section we apply it in the case of a compact riemannian manifold.
- In section 5 we prove the L^r_p Hodge decomposition theorem.
- In the last section we get good solutions for the Poisson equation associated to the Hodge Laplacian in a relatively compact domain in a complete, compact or not, riemannian manifold.

2 Hypotheses.

We shall deal with the following situation : we have a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ smooth manifold X admitting partitions of unity and a measure μ equivalent to the Lebesgue measure in each coordinates patch, so we have the associated Lebesgue spaces $L^r(X)$.

We suppose we have a punctual norm on $\Lambda^p(X)$, $|\omega(x)|$ and if Ω is an open set in X, the closure of $\Lambda^p(X)$ for this norm in the Lebesgue space $L^r(\Omega)$ will be denoted $L^r_p(\Omega)$:

$$\omega\in L^r_p(\Omega)\iff \|\omega\|^r_{L^r_p(\Omega)}:=\int_\Omega |\omega(x)|^r\,d\mu(x)<\infty.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}^p(\Omega)$ be the set of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ p forms of compact support in Ω. We are interested in solution of a linear equation $Du=\omega$, where $D=D_p$ is a linear operator acting on p forms, with eventually the constraint $C\omega=0$, where C is also a linear operator such that $CD=0$. One aim is to apply this to the Hodge Laplace equation with $D=\Delta$.

Now on the degree p of the forms will be fixed and all the hypotheses will be made for this precise degree ; so the explicit mention of the degree will be often omitted.

2.1 Local regularity.

Let Ω be a domain in X. We shall make the following assumptions on Ω and on D. First if $u \in \mathcal{D}^p(X),\ Du \in \mathcal{D}^p(X)$. There is a $\tau \geq \delta$ with $\displaystyle \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{r} - \tau$, such that :

(i) there is a covering $\{U_j\}_{j=1,\dots,N}$ of $\bar{\Omega}$ such that if $\omega \in L^r(\Omega),\ C\omega = 0$, we can solve $Du_j = \omega$ in $\Omega_j := U_j \cap \Omega$ with $L^r(\Omega) - L^t(\Omega_j)$ estimates, i.e. $\exists c_l > 0$ such that

$$\exists u_j \in L^t(\Omega_j),\ Du_j = \omega \text{ in } \Omega_j \text{ and } \|u_j\|_{L^t(\Omega_j)} \leq c_l \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega_j)}.$$

If we have Sobolev spaces $W_p^{k,r}(U)$ defined for p forms on $U \subset X$, then we may also suppose that :

(i') there is a $\beta \geq 1$ such that we can solve $Du_j = \omega$ in $\Omega_j := U_j \cap \Omega$ and

$$\exists c_{dl} > 0,\ \forall j = 1, \dots,\ N,\ \exists u_j \in W^{\beta,r}(\Omega_j),\ Du_j = \omega \text{ in } \Omega_j \text{ and } \|u_j\|_{W^{\beta,r}(\Omega_j)} \leq c_{dl} \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}.$$

Fix χ_j a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ smooth partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_j\}_{j=1,\dots,N}$ and relative to $\bar{\Omega}$, i.e. :

$$\forall j = 1, \dots,\ N,\ \chi_j \in \mathcal{D}(U_j),\ 0 \leq \chi_j \leq 1,\ \forall x \in \bar{\Omega},\ \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^N \chi_j(x) = 1.$$

Now $\forall \chi \in \mathcal{D}^0(X),\ \forall u \in L_p^t(\Omega)$, we set $B(\chi, u) := D(\chi u) - \chi D(u)$; this operator is linear in χ and u and we ask that :

(ii) we have $\forall j = 1, \dots, N,\ B(\chi_j, u_j) \in L_p^{t'}(\Omega_j)$, with $\displaystyle \frac{1}{t'} = \frac{1}{r} - \delta$; precisely, with the local solution u_j given by (i) :

$$\|B(\chi_j, u_j)\|_{L^{t'}(\Omega_j)} \leq c(\chi_j) \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega_j)}.$$

Again if we have Sobolev spaces $W_p^{k,r}(U)$ defined for p forms on $U \subset X$, then we may also suppose that there is a constant $c_{sl} > 0$:

(ii') $\forall j = 1, \dots, N,\ B(\chi_j, u_j) \in W_p^{1,r}(\Omega_j)$ and $\|B(\chi_j, u_j)\|_{W^{1,r}(\Omega_j)} \leq c_{sl} \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega_j)}$.

We notice here that the estimate $\|B(\chi_j, u_j)\|_{L^{t'}(\Omega_j)} \leq c(\chi_j) \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega_j)}$ cannot be true for any u : take $D = \Delta$ the laplacian and u a harmonic function, then $\omega = Du = 0$ but $B(\chi, u) \neq 0$ if $u \neq 0$. We ask this specifically for the given $\chi_j,\ u_j$.

Remark 2.1 *Clearly the hypotheses done are valid for a strictly elliptic linear partial differential operator (or a system) of finite order m, and Ω relatively compact.*

2.2 Global solution.

(iii) We can solve $Dw = \omega$ globally in Ω with $L^s - L^s$ estimates, i.e.

$$\exists c_g > 0,\ \forall \omega \in L^s(\Omega)\ \exists w \in L^s(\Omega)\ s.t.\ Dw = \omega \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and } \|w\|_{L^s(\Omega)} \leq c_g \|\omega\|_{L^s(\Omega)}$$

provided that $C\omega = 0$.

And again if we have Sobolev spaces defined on X,

(iii') there is a $0 \leq \gamma$ such that we can solve $Dw = \omega$ globally in Ω with $L^s - W^{\gamma,s}$ estimates, i.e.

$$\exists c_{dg} > 0,\ \exists w\ s.t.\ Dw = \omega \text{ in } \Omega \text{ and } \|w\|_{W^{\gamma,s}(\Omega)} \leq c_{dg} \|\omega\|_{L^s(\Omega)} \text{ provided that } C\omega = 0.$$

3 The "raising steps" method.

Theorem 3.1 *(Raising steps theorem) Under the assumptions above, there is a constant $c_f > 0$, such that for $r \geq 1,\ s \geq r$, if $\omega \in L^r(\Omega),\ C\omega = 0$ there is a $u = u_s \in L^t(\Omega)$ with $\displaystyle \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{r} - \tau$, such that $Du = \omega + \tilde{\omega}$, with $\tilde{\omega} \in L^s(\Omega),\ C\tilde{\omega} = 0$ and $\|u\|_{L^t(\Omega)} \leq c_f \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)},\ \|\tilde{\omega}\|_{L^s(\Omega)} \leq c_f \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$.*

If (i'), (ii') are fulfilled we also have
$u \in W^{\beta,r}(\Omega),\ \|u\|_{W^{\beta,r}(\Omega)} \leq c_f \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}.$

Proof.
Let $r \leq s$ and $\omega \in L^r(\Omega),\ C\omega = 0$; we start with the covering $\{U_j\}_{j=1,\dots,N}$ and the local solution $Du_j = \omega$ with $\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{r} - \tau,\ u_j \in L^t(\Omega_j)$ given by hypothesis (i).
Let χ_j be the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ smooth partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_j\}_{j=1,\dots,N}$ and relative to $\bar{\Omega}$, fixed in the hypotheses ; because $\Omega_j = \Omega \cap U_j$ and $\chi_j \in \mathcal{D}(U_j)$ we have $\chi_j u_j \in L^t(\Omega)$ with

$$\|\chi_j u_j\|_{L^t(\Omega)} \leq \|u_j\|_{L^s(\Omega_j)} \leq c_l \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega_j)} \leq c_l \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}. \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover if (i') is true, we have $u_j \in W^{\beta,r}(U_j) \Rightarrow \chi_j u_j \in W^{\beta,r}(\Omega)$ and :
$\|\chi_j u_j\|_{W^{\beta,r}(\Omega)} \leq c(\chi_j)\|u_j\|_{W^{\beta,r}(\Omega_j)} \leq c(\chi_j) c_{dl} \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega_j)} \leq c(\chi_j) c_{dl} \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}.$

Set $v_0 := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \chi_j u_j$. Then we have, setting now $\frac{1}{t_0} = \frac{1}{r} - \tau$,

- $v_0 \in L^{t_0}(\Omega)$ because $\chi_j u_j \in L^{t_0}(\Omega)$ for $j = 1, \dots, N$, and $\|v_0\|_{L^{t_0}(\Omega)} \leq c\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$ with $c = Nc_l$ by (3.1).

Moreover if (i') is true we have $u_j \in W^{\beta,r}(\Omega_j),\ \|u_j\|_{W^{\beta,r}(\Omega_j)} \leq c_{dl}\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$ hence $\chi_j u_j \in W_p^{\beta,r}(\Omega)$, with $\|\chi_j u_j\|_{W^{\beta,r}(\Omega)} \leq c(\chi_j) c_{dl} \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$. But $v_0 := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \chi_j u_j$ so we get

$$\|v_0\|_{W^{\beta,r}(\Omega)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} \|\chi_j u_j\|_{W^{\beta,r}(\Omega)} \leq c_{pu} c_{dl} \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)},$$

with $c_{pu} := \sum_{j=1}^{N} c(\chi_j)$. Hence $v_0 \in W_p^{\beta,r}(\Omega)$ with $\|v_0\|_{W^{\beta,r}(\Omega)} \leq c_{pu} c_{dl} \|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$.

- $Dv_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \chi_j Du_j + \sum_{j=1}^{N} B(\chi_j, u_j)$ hence

$$\forall x \in \Omega,\ Dv_0(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \chi_j(x)\omega(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} B(\chi_j, u_j)(x) = \omega(x) + \omega_1(x)$$

with $\omega_1 := \sum_{j=1}^{N} B(\chi_j, u_j)(x)$.

By hypothesis (ii), $B(\chi_j, u_j) \in L^{s_0}(U_j)$ with $\frac{1}{s_0} = \frac{1}{r} - \delta$, hence $\omega_1 \in L^{s_0}(\Omega)$, with

$$\|\omega_1\|_{L^{s_0}(\Omega)} \leq G\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \tag{3.2}$$

and $G = c_{sl}N$.

So the regularity of ω_1 is higher by one step $\delta > 0$ than that of ω. Moreover $C\omega_1 = CDv_0 - C\omega = 0$ because $CD = 0$ and $C\omega = 0$.

If $s_0 \geq s$ we notice that $\omega_1 \in L^s(\Omega)$ because then $L^{s_0}(\Omega) \subset L^s(\Omega)$ for Ω is relatively compact. So we are done by setting $u_s = v_0,\ \tilde{\omega} = \omega_1$.

If $s_0 < s$ we proceed by induction : setting t_1 such that $\frac{1}{t_1} = \frac{1}{s_0} - \tau = \frac{1}{r} - \delta - \tau$, using the same covering $\{U_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,N}$ and the same partition of unity $\{\chi_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,N}$ and with ω_1 in place of ω, we get $\exists v_1 \in L^{t_1}(\Omega),\ Dv_1 = \omega_1 + \omega_2$ and, setting s_1 such that $\frac{1}{s_1} = \frac{1}{s_0} - \delta = \frac{1}{r} - 2\delta$, we have that the regularity of ω_2 raises of 2 times δ from that of ω.

We still have $C\omega_2 = CDv_1 - C\omega_1 = 0$, so by induction, after a finite number k of steps, we get a $s_k \geq s$. The linear combination $u := \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j v_j$ now gives $Du = \omega + (-1)^k \omega_k$ with $C\omega_k = 0$. So again we are done by setting $\tilde{\omega} = (-1)^k \omega_k \in L^s_p(\Omega)$.

If (i') is true, then we have $v_0 \in W^{\beta,r}(\Omega)$ and

$$v_1 \in W^{\beta,s_0}(\Omega),\ \|v_1\|_{W^{\beta,s_0}(\Omega)} \leq c\|\omega_1\|_{L^{s_0}(\Omega)},$$

hence by (3.2) we get

$$\|v_1\|_{W^{\beta,s_0}(\Omega)} \leq cG\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}.$$

So, a fortiori, $v_1 \in W^{\beta,r}(\Omega)$ because $r < s_0$ with the same control of the norm. For the same reason we have $\forall j = 1, ..., k,\ \|v_j\|_{W^{\beta,r}(\Omega)} \leq c\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}$ hence the same estimates for u. ■

Corollary 3.2 *Under the assumptions of the raising steps theorem and with the global assumption (iii), there is a constant $c_f > 0$, such that for $r \leq s$, if $\omega \in L^r(\Omega),\ C\omega = 0$ there is a $v \in L^t(\Omega)$ with $\lambda := \min(\tau, \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{s})$, and $\frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{r} - \lambda$, such that*

$$Dv = \omega \text{ and } v \in L^t(\Omega),\ \|v\|_{L^t(\Omega)} \leq c\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}.$$

Moreover, if (i'), (ii') and (iii') are fulfilled we have, with $\alpha = \min(\beta, \gamma)$,

$$Dv = \omega \text{ and } v \in W^{\alpha,r}(\Omega),\ \|v\|_{W^{\alpha,r}(\Omega)} \leq c_f\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}.$$

Proof.

By the raising steps theorem 3.1 we have a $u \in L^t(\Omega)$ such that $Du = \omega + \tilde{\omega}$ with $\tilde{\omega} \in L^s(\Omega)$ and $C\tilde{\omega} = 0$; by hypothesis (iii) we can solve $D\tilde{v} = \tilde{\omega}$ with $\tilde{v} \in L^s(\Omega) \subset L^t(\Omega)$ so it remains to set

$v := u - \tilde{v}$ to get $v \in L^t(\Omega)$ and $Dv = \omega$.

Now if (iii') is true then $\tilde{v} \in W^{\gamma,s}(\Omega)$ and because $s \geq r$, we have $\tilde{v} \in W^{\gamma,r}(\Omega)$, so finally, with $\alpha = \min(\beta, \gamma)$, we get $v \in W^{\alpha,r}(\Omega)$ with the control of the norm, and we are done. ■

4 Application to compact riemannian manifold.

Let (M, g) be a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ smooth compact riemannian manifold with metric g. We can define punctually, for $\omega, \varphi \in \Lambda^p(M)$, a scalar product $(\omega, \varphi)(x)$, see [6], hence a modulus $\forall x \in M,\ |\omega|(x) := \sqrt{(\omega, \omega)(x)}$. By use of the canonical volume dv_g on M we get a scalar product :

$$\langle \omega, \varphi \rangle := \int_M (\omega, \varphi)(x) dv_g(x),$$

for p forms in $L^2_p(M)$ i.e. such that

$$\|\omega\|^2_{L^2(M)} := \int_M |\omega|^2(x) dv_g(x) < \infty.$$

The same way we define the spaces $L^r_p(M)$, and also $L^r_p(\Omega)$, for Ω any open set in M.

The exterior differential $d\ :\ \Lambda^p \to \Lambda^{p+1}$ on p forms admits a formal adjoint d^* :

$\forall \omega \in L^2_p(M),\ \forall \varphi \in \Lambda^p(M),\ \langle d^*\omega, \varphi\rangle = \langle \omega, d\varphi\rangle,$

and we have

$d^*\ :\ \Lambda^0 \to 0\ ;\ 1 \leq p \leq n,\ \Lambda^p \to \Lambda^{p-1}.$

Now we can define the Hodge laplacian :

$\Delta = dd^* + d^*d.$

This is a second order partial differential operator which is essentially self adjoint and positive and it sends p forms into p forms.

On (M, g) we can define Sobolev spaces (see [5]) and because M is compact these spaces are in fact independent of the metric. Moreover the Sobolev embeddings are true in this case and a chart diffeomorphism makes a correspondence between Sobolev spaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Sobolev spaces in M. Let Ω be any open set in M, we shall denote these Sobolev spaces $W^{s,r}_p(\Omega)$, meaning the set of p forms which have all their (covariant) derivatives up to order s in $L^r(\Omega)$.

4.1 Basic facts.

Let $\mathcal{H}^2_p$ be the set of harmonic p forms in $L^2(M)$, i.e. p form ω such that $\Delta\omega = 0$, which is equivalent here to $d\omega = d^*\omega = 0$.

The classical L^2 theory of Morrey [6] gives, on a compact manifold M without boundary :

$\mathcal{H}_p := \mathcal{H}^2_p \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ ([6], (vi) p. 296)

$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_p < \infty$ ([6], theorem 7.3.1).

This gives the existence of a linear projection from $L^r_p(M) \to \mathcal{H}_p$:

$$\forall v \in L^r_p(M),\ H(v) := \sum_{j=1}^{K_p} \langle v, e_j\rangle e_j$$

where $\{e_j\}_{j=1,\dots,\ K_p}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\mathcal{H}_p$. This is meaningful because $v \in L^r(M)$ can be integrated against $e_j \in \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$. Moreover we have $v - H(v) \in L^r_p(M) \cap \mathcal{H}^\perp$ in the sense that $\forall h \in \mathcal{H}_p,\ \langle v - H(v),\ h\rangle = 0.$

We shall prove, as a consequence of the Calderon Zygmund inequalities, that for $r < 2$, if $h \in L^r_p(M),\ \Delta h = 0$ then $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_p(M)$, hence in fact $h \in \mathcal{H}_p$.

4.2 Local estimates.

In order to have the local result, for $y \in M$, we choose a chart $(V \ni y,\ \varphi := (x_1, \dots, x_n))$ so that $g_{ij}(y) = \delta_{ij}$ and $\varphi(V) = B$ where B is a Euclidean ball centered at $\varphi(y) = 0$ and g_{ij} are the components of the metric tensor w.r.t. φ.

Lemma 4.1 *For any $y \in M$, there are open sets $V,\ W \Subset V,\ y \in W$, such that :*

$\forall \omega \in L^r(V),\ \exists u \in W^{2,r}(W) :: \Delta u = \omega,\ \|u\|_{W^{2,r}(W)} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^r(W)}.$

And a Calderon Zygmund inequality : there are constants c_1, c_2 such that

$\forall u \in W^{2,r}(V),\ \|u\|_{W^{2,r}(W)} \leq c_1\|u\|_{L^r(V)} + c_2\|\Delta u\|_{L^r(V)}$

Proof.

Of course the operator d on p forms is local and so is d^* as a first order partial differential operator.

So the Hodge laplacian Δ_φ read by φ in B is not so different from that of $\mathbb{R}^n$ in B when acting on forms in B ; hence we have

$$\Delta_\varphi \omega_\varphi = \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} \omega_\varphi + A\omega_\varphi,$$

where ω_φ is the p form ω read in the chart (V, φ) and A is a matrix valued second order operator with $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ smooth coefficients such that $A\ :\ W^{2,r}(B) \to L^r(B)$ with, for a B with a small enough radius, $\|A\| \leq c\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}\|$ with $c < 1$.

This is true because at the point $y \in V$ we are in the flat case and if B is small enough, the difference $A := \Delta_\varphi - \Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ in operator norm $W^{2,r}(B) \to L^r(B)$ goes to 0 when the radius of B goes to 0, because $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^2$ and the metric tensor g is also $\mathcal{C}^2$.

We know that $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ operates component-wise on the p form γ, so we have

$$\forall \gamma \in L^r_p(B),\ \exists v_0 \in W^{2,r}_p(B) :: \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} v_0 = \gamma,\ \|v_0\|_{W^{2,r}(B)} \leq C\|\gamma\|_{L^r(B)},$$

simply setting the component of v_0 to be the Newtonian potential of the corresponding component of γ in U, these non trivial estimates coming from Gilbarg and Trudinger [3], Th 9.9, p. 230 and the constant $C = C(n, r)$ depends only on n and r.

So we get $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} v_0 + Av_0 = \gamma + \gamma_1$, with

$$\gamma_1 = Av_0 \Rightarrow \|\gamma_1\|_{L^r(B)} \leq c\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} v_0\|_{L^r(B)} = c\|\gamma\|_{L^r(B)}.$$

We solve again

$$\exists v_1 \in W^{2,r}_p(B) :: \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} v_1 = \gamma_1,\ \|v_1\|_{W^{2,r}(B)} \leq C\|\gamma_1\|_{L^r(B)} = Cc\|\gamma\|_{L^r(B)},$$

and we set

$$\gamma_2 := Av_1 \Rightarrow \|\gamma_2\|_{L^r(B)} \leq c\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} v_1\|_{L^r(B)} = c\|\gamma_1\|_{L^r(B)} \leq c^2\|\gamma\|_{L^r(B)}.$$

And by induction :

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N},\ \gamma_k := Av_{k-1} \Rightarrow \|\gamma_k\|_{L^r(B)} \leq c\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} v_{k-1}\|_{L^r(B)} = c\|\gamma_{k-1}\|_{L^r(B)} \leq c^k\|\gamma\|_{L^r(B)}$$

and

$$\exists v_k \in W^{2,r}_p(B) :: \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} v_k = \gamma_k,\ \|v_k\|_{W^{2,r}(B)} \leq C\|\gamma_k\|_{L^r(B)} = Cc^k\|\gamma\|_{L^r(B)}.$$

Now we set

$$v := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^j v_j,$$

this series converges in norm $W^{2,r}(B)$ and we have

$$\Delta_\varphi v = \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} v + Av = \textstyle\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^j(\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} v_j + Av_j) = \gamma,$$

the last series converging in $L^r(B)$.

As an alternative proof, we could have used the estimates for elliptic system done by Douglis and Nirenberg [2] to work directly with Δ_φ but this is too heavy for a case so near to the usual laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Going back to the manifold M with $\gamma := \omega_\varphi$ and setting $u_\varphi := v,\ W := \varphi^{-1}(B)$, we get the right estimates :

$$\exists u \in W^{2,r}(W) :: \Delta u = \omega\ in\ W,\ \|u\|_{W^{2,r}(W)} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^r(W)},$$

because the Sobolev spaces for B go to the analogous Sobolev spaces for W in M.

For the Calderon Zygmund (C-Z) inequality we proceed the same way ; by the classical C-Z inequality for the usual laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, [3], Th. 9.11, p. 235, we have, with $\rho = 1/2$,

$$\forall u \in W^{2,r}_p(B),\ \|u\|_{W^{2,r}(\rho B)} \leq c_1\|u\|_{L^r(B)} + c_2'\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} u\|_{L^r(B)}$$

because the laplacian on forms in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is diagonal. Because $\Delta_\varphi = \Delta_{\mathbb{R}} + A$ with A a matrix valued second order operator with $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ smooth coefficients such that $A\ :\ W^{2,r}(B) \to L^r(B)$ with $\|A\| \leq c\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}\|$ with $c < 1$, we get

$$\forall u \in W^{2,r}_p(B),\ \|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} u\|_{L^r(B)} \leq \|\Delta_\varphi u - Au\|_{L^r(B)} \leq \|\Delta_\varphi\|_{L^r(B)} + \|Au\|_{L^r(B)} \leq \|\Delta_\varphi u\|_{L^r(B)} + c\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} u\|_{L^r(B)}.$$

So

$$\forall u \in W^{2,r}_p(B),\ (1 - c)\|\Delta_{\mathbb{R}} u\|_{L^r(B)} \leq \|\Delta_\varphi u\|_{L^r(B)}$$

and finally

$$\forall u\in W_p^{2,r}(B),\ \|u\|_{W^{2,r}(\rho B)}\leq c_1\|u\|_{L^r(B)}+\frac{c'_2}{1-c}\|\Delta_\varphi u\|_{L^r(B)}.$$

It remains to set $c_2:=\dfrac{c'_2}{1-c}$ to get the C-Z inequality for Δ_φ, so passing back to M, we get the C-Z local inequality on M. ■

Remark 4.2 *(i) We notice that there is no compatibility condition for solving the system $\Delta u=\omega$ locally.*

(ii) Of course we can regularize u by convolution in ρB so in fact we get :

$\forall u\in L^r(B),\ \Delta_\varphi u\in L^r(B)\Rightarrow u\in W^{2,r}(\rho B)$ *and* $\|u\|_{W^{2,r}(\rho B)}\leq c_1\|u\|_{L^r(B)}+c_2\|\Delta_\varphi u\|_{L^r(B)}$ *and the analogous in M :*

$\forall u\in L^r(V),\ \Delta u\in L^r(V)\Rightarrow u\in W^{2,r}(W)$ *and* $\|u\|_{W^{2,r}(W)}\leq c_1\|u\|_{L^r(V)}+c_2\|\Delta u\|_{L^r(V)}.$

Proposition 4.3 *We have the hypo-ellipticity of the Hodge laplacian :*

$$\forall r>1,\ \forall u\in L^r(M),\ \Delta u=0\Rightarrow u\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(M).$$

Moreover if there is a solution $u\in W^{t,r}(M)$ of the equation $\Delta u=f$ in M then any solution $v\in L^r(M)$ of $\Delta v=f$ has the same regularity.

Proof.

By C-Z we have $\Delta u=0\Rightarrow\forall y\in M,\ \exists W\ni y::u\in W^{2,r}(W)$; by Sobolev embeddings which are true here, we get $u\in L^t(W),\ \dfrac{1}{t}=\dfrac{1}{r}-\dfrac{2}{n}$, hence again, because $\Delta u=0,\ u\in W^{2,t}(W)$; so by induction we get $u\in W^{2,2}(W)$ and by compactness $u\in W^{2,2}(M)$. Now the L^2 theory [6] implies that $u\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$.

Suppose that $\Delta v=f$ then $\Delta(v-u)=0$ hence $v-u\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ so v has the same regularity as u. ■

Corollary 4.4 *For any $y\in M$, there are open sets $V,\ W\Subset V,\ y\in W$, such that we have :*

$$\forall\omega\in L_p^r(V),\ \exists u\in L_p^t(W)::\Delta u=\omega,\ \|u\|_{L_p^t(W)}\leq C\|\omega\|_{L_p^r(V)}$$

with $\dfrac{1}{t}=\dfrac{1}{r}-\dfrac{2}{n}$, *and* $\nabla u\in W_p^{1,r}(W)$.

Proof.

The lemma 4.1 gives $u\in W^{2,r}(W)$ such that $\Delta u=\omega,\ \|u\|_{W^{2,r}(W)}\leq C\|\omega\|_{L^r(V)}$, hence we get that $\nabla u\in W_p^{1,r}(W)$ with the same control : $\|\nabla u\|_{W^{1,r}(W)}\leq C\|\omega\|_{L^r(V)}$.

For the first statement it remains to apply the Sobolev embedding theorems which are true here. ■

4.3 Verification of the hypotheses.

Our operator is $D:=\Delta$ on a compact manifold M without boundary and we set $\Omega=M$. The compatibility condition is given by the linear operator H, i.e. to be globally solvable a p form ω must be in $\mathcal{H}^\perp$, as we shall see in a moment, which is equivalent to $H(\omega)=0$. So here we set $C=H$.

4.3.1 Verification of the local hypotheses.

We have, in the sense of distributions, for any open set U :

$\forall \chi \in \mathcal{D}(U),\ \forall u \in W_p^{2,r}(U),\ B(\chi,u) := \Delta(\chi u) - \chi\Delta u,$

and because Δ is a second order differential operator, we have that $B(\chi,u)$ is a first order differential operator in u with $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ coefficients.

By corollary 4.4 and the fact that M is compact, we can cover M by a finite set $\{W_j, V_j\}_{j=1,\ldots,N}$ such that, with $\displaystyle \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{2}{n}$,

$\forall \omega \in L_p^r(M),\ \exists u_j \in L_p^t(W_j) :: \Delta u_j = \omega,\ \|u_j\|_{L_p^t(W_j)} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^r(M)}.$

So we set $\forall j = 1,...,N,\ U_j = W_j$ and we have here $\tau = \dfrac{2}{n}$ hence the (i).

The lemma 4.1 gives $u_j \in W^{2,r}(W_j)$ such that

$\Delta u_j = \omega,\ \|u_j\|_{W^{2,r}(W_j)} \leq C\|\omega\|_{L^r(M)}$, hence we have (i') with $\beta = 2$.

The corollary 4.4 gives $\|\nabla u_j\|_{W^{1,r}(W_j)} \leq c\|\omega\|_{L^r(M)}$ but $B(\chi,u)$ is a first order differential operator in u with $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ coefficients so we have (ii') :

$\|B(\chi_j,u_j)\|_{W^{1,r}(W_j)} \leq c\|\omega\|_{L^r(M)}.$

Again we use the Sobolev embedding theorem to get

$\|B(\chi_j,u_j)\|_{L^t(W_j)} \leq c\|B(\chi_j,u_j)\|_{W^{1,r}(W_j)} \leq c\|\omega\|_{L^r(M)},$

with $\displaystyle \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{n}$. Hence we have here (ii) with $\delta = \dfrac{1}{n}$.

It remains to check that $H\Delta = 0$ which is easy :

$\forall u \in W^{2,r}(M),\ \forall h \in \mathcal{H}_p,\ \langle \Delta u,\ h\rangle = \langle u,\ \Delta h\rangle = 0$ because Δ is essentially self adjoint and $h \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(M),\ M$ being compact. So $H\Delta = 0$.

4.3.2 Verification of the global hypotheses.

The threshold here is $L_p^2(M)$ where the Hodge decomposition is well known [6], we have

Theorem 4.5 *(The L^2 Hodge Decomposition). Let M be a compact, $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, riemannian manifold without boundary ; we have :*

$L^2(\bigwedge^l M) = Im\Delta \oplus \mathcal{H}.$

Moreover Δ^{-1} is bounded from $L_p^2(M) \cap \mathcal{H}^\perp$ into $W_p^{2,2}(M) \cap \mathcal{H}^\perp$.

This implies (iii) and (iii') with $\gamma = 2$:

$\forall \omega \in L_p^2(M),\ H(\omega) = 0,\ \exists u \in W^{2,2}(M) \cap \mathcal{H}^\perp :: \Delta u = \omega.$

4.4 Application of the raising steps method.

Now we are in position to apply the raising steps method, namely corollary 3.2, and it gives :

Theorem 4.6 *Let M be a compact $\mathcal{C}^\infty$, Riemannian manifold without boundary ; we have :*

$\forall \omega \in L_p^r(M),\ \omega \in \mathcal{H}_p(M)^\perp,\ r < 2;\ \exists u \in L_p^t(M) :: \Delta u = \omega,$

with $\displaystyle \lambda := \min(\frac{2}{n}, \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2}),\ \ \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{r} - \lambda.$

Moreover $u \in W^{2,r}(\Omega),\ \|u\|_{W^{2,r}(\Omega)} \leq c\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}.$

In order to get a L^p Hodge decomposition theorem, we shall improve a little bit this result :

Corollary 4.7 *In the previous theorem we can choose* $v \perp \mathcal{H},\ \Delta v = \omega$, *and keeping the same bounds.*

Proof.
Take the previous solution u and set $v := u - H(u)$. Then clearly v belongs to the same spaces as u because $H(u) \in \mathcal{H}_p \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ and the norms are just slightly modified and we have
$\Delta v = \Delta u - \Delta(H(u)) = \Delta u = \omega.$ ■

4.4.1 Case $r > 2$.

For this case we shall proceed by duality as we did in [1].
Let $g \in L_p^{s'}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_p^\perp$, we want to solve $\Delta v = g$, with $s' \geq 2$ and s' conjugate to s.
We know by the previous part that :

$$\forall f \in L_p^r(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_p^\perp,\ \exists u \in L_p^s(\Omega),\ \Delta u = f. \tag{4.3}$$

Consider the linear form
$\forall f \in L_p^r(\Omega),\ \mathcal{L}(f) := \langle u, g\rangle,$
where u is a solution of (4.3) ; in order for $\mathcal{L}(f)$ to be well defined, we need that if u' is another solution of $\Delta u' = f$, then $\langle u - u', g\rangle = 0$; hence we need that g must be "orthogonal" to harmonic p forms which is precisely our assumption.

Hence we have that $\mathcal{L}(f)$ is well defined and linear ; moreover
$|\mathcal{L}(f)| \leq \|u\|_{L^s(\Omega)}\|g\|_{L^{s'}(\Omega)} \leq c\|f\|_{L^r(\Omega)}\|g\|_{L^{s'}(\Omega)}$
so this linear form is continuous on $f \in L_p^r(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_p^\perp$. By Hahn Banach it can be extended to the whole of $L_p^r(\Omega)$, hence there is a p form $v \in L_p^{r'}(\Omega)$ such that :
$\forall f \in L_p^r(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{H}_p^\perp,\ \mathcal{L}(f) = \langle f, v\rangle = \langle u, g\rangle.$
But $f = \Delta u$, and Δ is essentially self adjoint so we have
$\langle f, v\rangle = \langle \Delta u, v\rangle = \langle u, \Delta v\rangle = \langle u, g\rangle,$
for any $u \in \mathcal{C}_p^\infty(M)$, hence we solved $\Delta v = g$ in the sense of distributions with $v \in L_p^{r'}(\Omega)$. So the theorem

Theorem 4.8 *For any* $r,\ 2 \leq r \leq n/2$, *if* $g \in L_p^r(M) \cap \mathcal{H}_p^\perp$ *there is a* $v \in L^t(M)$ *such that* $\Delta v = g,\ \|v\|_{L_p^t(M)} \leq c\|g\|_{L_p^r(M)}$ *with* $\dfrac{1}{t} = \dfrac{1}{r} - \dfrac{2}{n}$.
If $r > n/2$, *there is a* $v \in W^{2,r}(M)$ *such that* $\Delta v = g,\ \|v\|_{W^{2,r}(M)} \leq c\|g\|_{L_p^r(M)}$.
For any $r \geq 2$ *any solution* v *of* $\Delta v = g$ *is in* $W^{2,r}(M)$.

It remains to prove the last assertion and for this if w is another solution $\Delta w = \omega$, then $\Delta(v - w) = 0$, hence
$h := v - w \in \mathcal{H}_p \Rightarrow h \in \mathcal{C}_p^\infty(M) \Rightarrow w = v - h \in W_p^{2,r}(M).$ ■

Now, suppose that $g \in L_p^t(M) \cap \mathcal{H}_p^\perp$ we shall apply the raising steps theorem with, as threshold global solution, the theorem 4.8 with $s > t$. So we get the theorem :

Theorem 4.9 *For any* $r,\ 1 \leq r \leq n/2$, *if* $g \in L^r_p(M) \cap \mathcal{H}^\perp_p$ *there is a* $v \in L^t(M)$ *such that* $\Delta v = g,\ \|v\|_{L^t_p(M)} \leq c\|g\|_{L^r_p(M)}$ *with* $\dfrac{1}{t} = \dfrac{1}{r} - \dfrac{2}{n}$.
If $r > n/2$, *there is a* $v \in W^{2,r}(M)$ *such that* $\Delta v = g,\ \|v\|_{W^{2,r}(M)} \leq c\|g\|_{L^r_p(M)}$.
For any $r \geq 1$ *any solution* v *of* $\Delta v = g$ *is in* $W^{2,r}(M)$.

5 The Hodge decomposition.

We proved that

$$\omega \in L^r_p(M),\ \omega \in \mathcal{H}_p(M)^\perp,\ \exists u \in L^s_p(M) \cap \mathcal{H}_p(M)^\perp :: \Delta u = \omega\ ;$$

we want to see that Δ^{-1} is bounded from $L^r_p(M)$ to $W^{2,r}(M)$.

First Δ is injective from $\mathcal{H}_p(M)^\perp$ to $\mathcal{H}_p(M)^\perp$: let $u \in \mathcal{H}_p(M)^\perp$ be such that $\Delta u = 0$, the ellipticity of Δ implies that $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ by proposition 4.3 and $u \in \mathcal{H}_p(M)$ but $u \in \mathcal{H}_p(M)^\perp$ hence $\langle u,\ u\rangle = 0 \Rightarrow u = 0$.

Now we have the operator Δ which is bounded from $W^{2,r}(M) \cap \mathcal{H}^\perp$ to $L^r_p(M) \cap \mathcal{H}^\perp$ and which is injective and onto by the corollary 4.7, so its inverse is also bounded.

This proves the L^r Hodge decomposition :

$$L^r_p(M) = L^r_p(M) \cap \mathcal{H}_p(M)^\perp \oplus L^r_p(M) \cap \mathcal{H}_p(M),$$

with Δ^{-1} continuous from $L^r_p(M) \cap \mathcal{H}_p(M)^\perp \to W^{2,r}_p(M) \cap \mathcal{H}_p(M)^\perp$.

Theorem 5.1 *If* (M, g) *is a compact riemannian manifold without boundary ; we have the strong* L^r *Hodge decomposition :*
$\forall r,\ 1 \leq r < \infty,\ L^r_p(M) = \mathcal{H}^r_p \oplus \mathrm{Im}\Delta(W^{2,r}_p(M)) = \mathcal{H}^r_p \oplus \mathrm{Im}d(W^{1,r}_p(M)) \oplus \mathrm{Im}d^*(W^{1,r}_p(M))$.

Proof.
We already have

$$L^r_p(M) = \mathcal{H}^r_p \oplus \mathrm{Im}\Delta(W^{2,r}_p(M))$$

where $\oplus$ means uniqueness of the decomposition, and is given here by the fact that the operator H is bounded on $L^r_p(M)$.
So :

$$\forall \omega \in L^r_p(M) \cap \mathcal{H}^{r\perp}_p,\ \exists u \in W^{2,r}_p(M) :: \Delta u = \omega.$$

From $\Delta = dd^* + d^*d$ we get $\omega = d(d^*u) + d^*(du)$ with $du \in W^{1,r}_p(M)$ and $d^*u \in W^{1,r}_p(M)$, so

$$\forall \omega \in L^r_p(M) \cap \mathcal{H}^{r\perp}_p,\ \exists \alpha \in W^{1,r}_{p-1}(M),\ \exists \beta \in W^{1,r}_{p+1}(M) :: \omega = \alpha + \beta,$$

simply setting $\alpha = d(d^*u),\ \beta = d^*(du)$, which gives $\alpha \in d(W^{1,r}_{p-1}(M))$ and $\beta \in d^*(W^{1,r}_{p+1}(M))$ and this proves the existence.

The uniqueness is given by lemma 6.3 in [7] and I copy this simple (but nice) proof here for the reader's convenience.
Suppose that $\alpha \in W^{1,r}_{p-1}(M),\ \beta \in W^{1,r}_{p+1}(M),\ h \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfy

$$d\alpha + d^*\beta + h = 0.$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_p(M)$, because of the classical $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -Hodge decomposition, there are $\eta \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{p-1}(M),\ \omega \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{p+1}(M)$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying

$$\varphi = d\eta + d^*\omega + \tau.$$

Notice that $\langle d^*\beta, d\eta\rangle = \left\langle \beta, d^2\eta\right\rangle = \langle\beta, 0\rangle = 0$ and $\langle h, d\eta\rangle = \langle d^*h, \eta\rangle = 0$, by the duality between d and d^*. Linearity then gives

$$\langle d\alpha, d\eta\rangle = \langle d\alpha + d^*\beta + h, d\eta\rangle = \langle 0, d\eta\rangle = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle d\alpha, \varphi\rangle &= \langle d\alpha, d\eta\rangle + \langle d\alpha, d^*\omega\rangle + \langle d\alpha, \tau\rangle\\ &= 0 + \left\langle \alpha, d^{*2}\omega\right\rangle + \langle \alpha, d\tau\rangle \text{ by (5.4)}\\ &= \langle\alpha, 0\rangle + \langle\alpha, 0\rangle \text{ because } d^{*2} = 0 \text{ and } \tau \in \mathcal{H}\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_p^\infty(M)$ is dense in $L_p^{r'}(M)$, r' being the conjugate exponent of r, and φ is arbitrary, we see that $d\alpha = 0$. Analogously, we see that $d^*\beta = 0$ and it follows that $h = 0$. ■

6 Case of Ω a domain in M.

Let Ω be a domain in a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ smooth complete riemannian manifold M, compact or non compact; we want to show how the results in case of a compact boundary-less manifold apply to this case. We copy the following construction from [4].

Let N be a relatively compact domain of M such that ∂N is a smooth hypersurface and $\bar{\Omega} \subset N$. The "Riemannian double" $D := D(N)$ of N, obtained by gluing two copies of N along ∂N, is a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. Moreover, by its very construction, it is always possible to assume that D contains an isometric copy Ω_N of the original domain Ω. We shall write Ω for Ω_N to ease notations.

Lemma 6.1 *Let $\omega \in L_p^r(\Omega)$, then we can extend it to $\omega' \in L_p^r(D)$ such that*
$\forall h \in \mathcal{H}_p(D),\ \langle \omega', h\rangle_D = 0.$

Proof.
Recall that $\mathcal{H}_p(D)$ is the vector space of harmonic p forms in D, it is of finite dimension and $\mathcal{H}_p(D) \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(D)$.

Make an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, ..., e_{K_p}\}$ of $\mathcal{H}_p(D)$ with respect to $L_p^2(D)$, by the Gram-Schmidt procedure, so we get :

$$\langle e_j, e_k\rangle_D := \int_D e_j e_k dV = \delta_{jk}.$$

Set $\lambda_j := \langle \omega \mathbb{1}_\Omega, e_j\rangle = \langle \omega, e_j \mathbb{1}_\Omega\rangle,\ j = 1, ..., K_p$, which makes sense since $e_j \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(D) \Rightarrow e_j \in L^\infty(D)$, because D is compact and put $\omega'' := \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{K_p} \mu_j e_j \mathbb{1}_{D\backslash\Omega}$. Then we set

$$\omega' := \omega\mathbb{1}_\Omega - \omega''\mathbb{1}_{D\backslash\Omega} = \omega - \omega''.$$

We have

$$\forall j = 1, ..., K_p,\ \langle \omega', e_j\rangle_D = \langle \omega, e_j\rangle - \langle \omega'', e_j\rangle = \lambda_j \left\|e_j\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} - \mu_j \left\|e_j\right\|^2_{L^2(D\backslash\Omega)} = 0,$$

by the choice of

$$\forall j = 1, ..., K_p,\ \mu_j := \lambda_j \frac{\left\|e_j\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}}{\left\|e_j\right\|^2_{L^2(D\backslash\Omega)}}.$$

This choice is relevant because if $\|e_j\|_{L^2(D\backslash\Omega)}=0$, then $e_j\equiv 0$ for e_j is harmonic and $D\backslash\bar{\Omega}$ is open non void. In fact set $\delta:=\inf\ _j\|e_j\|_{L^2(D\backslash\Omega)}\Rightarrow\delta>0$ and

$$H\omega=\sum_{j=1}^{K_p}\lambda_j e_j\Rightarrow\|H\omega\|_2^2\leq\|\omega\|_2^2\Rightarrow\sum_{j=1}^{K_p}|\lambda_j|^2\leq\|\omega\|_2^2\Rightarrow\|\omega''\|_2^2\leq\frac{1}{\delta^2}\|\omega\|_2^2.$$

Of course $\omega''\in L_p^r(D)$ because

$$|\lambda_j|\leq\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}\|e_j\|_{L^{r'}(\Omega)}\Rightarrow|\mu_j|\leq\frac{1}{\delta^2}\|\omega\|_{L^r(\Omega)}\|e_j\|_{L^{r'}(\Omega)},$$

and $\|e_j\|_{L^{r'}(\Omega)}\leq C_p(\Omega)$. Because $\|e_j\|_{L^2(D)}=1$, a priori $\|e_j\|_{L^{r'}(D)}$ is bigger than 1 for $r<2$, hence $C_p(\Omega)$ depends actually on Ω unless $r\geq 2$.

So we have $\|\omega'\|_{L^r(D)}\leq K_pC_p(\Omega)\|\omega\|_{L_p^r(\Omega)}$. ■

Now let $\omega\in L^r(\Omega)$ and see it on $L^r(\Omega_N)$; then extend it as ω' to D by lemma 6.1. By the results on the compact manifold D, because $\omega'\perp\mathcal{H}_p(D)$, we get that there exists $u'\in W_p^{2,r}(D),\ u'\perp\mathcal{H}_p(D)$, such that $\Delta u'=\omega'$; hence if u is the restriction of u' to Ω we get

$$u\in W_p^{2,r}(\Omega),\ \Delta u=\omega \text{ in } \Omega.$$

Hence we proved

Theorem 6.2 *Let Ω be a domain in the smooth complete riemannian manifold M and $\omega\in L_p^r(\Omega)$, then there is a form $u\in W_p^{2,r}(\Omega)$, such that $\Delta u=\omega$ and $\|u\|_{W_p^{2,r}(\Omega)}\leq c(\Omega)\|\omega\|_{L_p^r(\Omega)}$.*

As in domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$, there is no constraint for solving the Poisson equation in this case.

References

[1] E. Amar. The raising steps method. Application to the $\bar{\partial}$ equation in Stein manifolds. *J. Geometric Analysis*, 2015. To appear.

[2] A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg. Interior estimates for elliptic systems of partial differential equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 8:503–538, 1955.

[3] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger. *Elliptic Partial Differential equations*, volume 224 of *Grundlheren der mathematischen Wissenschaften.* Springer, 1998.

[4] B. Guneysu and S. Pigola. Calderon-Zygmund inequality on riemannian manifolds. *Preprint*, 2014.

[5] E. Hebey. *Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manifolds.*, volume 1635 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[6] C. B. Morrey. *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations*, volume 130 of *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften.* Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1966.

[7] C. Scott. L^p theory of differential forms on manifolds. *Transactions of the Americain Mathematical Society*, 347(6):2075–2096, 1995.